Acetylation Regulates Gluconeogenesis by Promoting PEPCK1 Degradation via Recruiting the UBR5 Ubiquitin Ligase
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SUMMARY

Protein acetylation has emerged as a major mechanism in regulating cellular metabolism. Whereas most glycolytic steps are reversible, the reaction catalyzed by pyruvate kinase is irreversible, and the reverse reaction requires phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK1) to commit for gluconeogenesis. Here, we show that acetylation regulates the stability of the gluconeogenic rate-limiting enzyme PEPCK1, thereby modulating cellular response to glucose. High glucose destabilizes PEPCK1 by stimulating its acetylation. PEPCK1 is acetylated by the P300 acetyltransferase, and this acetylation stimulates the interaction between PEPCK1 and UBR5, a HECT domain containing E3 ubiquitin ligase, therefore promoting PEPCK1 ubiquitylation and degradation. Conversely, SIRT2 deacetylates and stabilizes PEPCK1. These observations represent an example that acetylation targets a metabolic enzyme to a specific E3 ligase in response to metabolic condition changes. Given that increased levels of PEPCK are linked with type II diabetes, this study also identifies potential therapeutic targets for diabetes.

INTRODUCTION

Metabolic enzymes are regulated by various mechanisms such as transcription, posttranslational modifications (PTMs), and allosteric regulation. The role of PTMs in metabolism regulation has received close attention because of not only its ability of acutely responding to changes in cellular metabolic status but also its regulation by upstream signaling pathways. Phosphorylation was first discovered in the study of metabolic enzymes and plays a very broad role in metabolic control through mostly regulating the conformation and activity of metabolic enzymes. Phosphorylation of glycogen phosphorylase and glycogen synthase exemplifies a typical mechanism that PTMs directly regulate catalytic activity of metabolic enzymes (Browner and Fletterick, 1992; Johnson, 1992; Soderling et al., 1979). Very few examples are known of a metabolic enzyme regulated by a PTM that affects the proteins stability and links to nutrient condition.

Acetylation has been identified as an evolutionarily conserved modification in metabolic enzymes and has emerged to play major roles in metabolic regulation (Wang et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2010). In bacteria, acetylation has been found not only to control activities of key metabolic enzymes such as acetyl CoA synthetase and glyceraldehyde dehydrogenase but also to play critical roles in coordinating activities of metabolic pathways according to different carbon source availability (Starai et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2010). In eukaryotic cells, a number of recent studies have rapidly revealed that acetylation regulates key metabolic enzymes in urea and TCA cycles, gluconeogenesis, fatty acid metabolism, and reactive oxygen species scavenge system (Hirschey et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2010; Nakagawa et al., 2009; Qiu et al., 2010; Someya et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2010). The consequence of acetylation on these enzymes is that they directly affect catalytic activity of these enzymes via different mechanisms (Lin et al., 2009; Someya et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2010).

PEPCK1 is a cataplerotic enzyme that plays important functions in gluconeogenesis, glyceroneogenesis, serine synthesis, and amino acid metabolism (Hanson and Patel, 1994; Nye et al., 2008; Tannen, 1978). It catalyzes the first committed and rate-limiting step of gluconeogenesis, which plays critical functions, mainly in liver and to a lesser extent in kidney and small intestine, to maintain glucose homeostasis (Chakravarty et al., 2005). It is well established that changes in the rate of transcription of PEPCK1, an event regulated by transcription factors such as PGC-1α and HNF-1 in response to hormones and diets (Granner and O’Brien, 1992; Hanson and Reshef, 1997; Yoon et al., 2001), is of critical importance in maintaining the overall PEPCK1 activity. Since elevated gluconeogenesis is an
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RESULTS

Acetylation Promotes PEPCK1 Degradation via Ubiquitin-Proteasome Pathway

In order to elucidate the nature of acetylation in human PEPCK1 stability regulation, HEK293, HepG2, Chang's, and HEK293T cells were each treated with a deacetylase inhibitor cocktail that contains nicotinamide (NAM) and trichostatin A (TSA), a chemical combination that supposedly inhibits all four classes of known deacetylases (Xu et al., 2007). Confirming our previous finding (Zhao et al., 2010), steady-state levels of endogenous PEPCK1 were decreased 50%–70% by inhibition of deacetylases (Figures 1A and 1B). When MG132 was included in cell culture medium to inhibit proteasomal degradation, steady-state PEPCK1 levels increased by more than 60% in HEK293T cells and, notably, this treatment cancelled the destabilization effect of NAM+TSA treatment on PEPCK1 (Figure 1B). These results suggested that acetylation-promoted decrease of PEPCK1 is likely mediated by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. Consistent with this notion, when Flag-tagged PEPCK1 was coexpressed with HA-tagged ubiquitin, active PEPCK1 ubiquitinylation was detected and inhibition of deacetylases significantly increased PEPCK1 ubiquitinylation (Figures 1C and 1D). Moreover, inhibition of deacetylases further increased PEPCK1 ubiquitination in the presence of MG132. The promotional role of inhibition of deacetylases on PEPCK1 ubiquitination, however, was not observed when all three putative acetylation lysine residues of PEPCK1 were changed to non-acetylatable arginine residues (PEPCK13K/R). When PEPCK13K/R was expressed in HEK293T cells, purified PEPCK13K/R protein had a low basal level of ubiquitination, and, more importantly, its ubiquitination level did not respond to inhibition of deacetylases (Figure 1D), indicating that PEPCK1 ubiquitination depends on acetylation.

Figure 1. Acetylation Promotes Ubiquitin-Proteasome Degradation of PEPCK1

(A) Acetylation promotes cellular PEPCK1 degradation. Endogenous PEPCK1 levels of HEK293, HepG2, and Chang's liver cells were determined under both with and without deacetylase inhibitor treatment.

(B) Acetylation-promoted PEPCK1 degradation is inhibited by proteasome inhibitor. 293T cells were treated or not treated by TSA and NAM in the absence or presence of MG132. Endogenous PEPCK1 was probed by anti-PEPCK1 antibody.

(C) Deacetylase inhibitors increase the ubiquitination level of PEPCK1. Ubiquitination levels of affinity purified Flag-PEPCK1 proteins expressed under treatment or not treated by NAM+TSA in the absence or presence of MG132 were detected.

(D) Deacetylase inhibitors do not affect PEPCK13K/R acetylation level and protein stability. The acetylation levels and ubiquitination levels of affinity purified PEPCK13K/R protein expressed under or without NAM+TSA treatment were determined.

(E) Identification of PEPCK1 interacting proteins. PEPCK1 interacting proteins involved in acetylation and ubiquitination are shown. See also Table S1.

Table S1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>MW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BAT3</td>
<td>6.77E-15</td>
<td>60.30</td>
<td>119334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEPCK1</td>
<td>4.55E-14</td>
<td>1630.42</td>
<td>69149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBR5</td>
<td>9.93E-12</td>
<td>48.32</td>
<td>309156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSB7</td>
<td>6.48E-11</td>
<td>34.28</td>
<td>29946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRB8</td>
<td>1.01E-09</td>
<td>29.27</td>
<td>45597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRB7</td>
<td>3.23E-09</td>
<td>30.21</td>
<td>48603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ubiquitin</td>
<td>4.66E-09</td>
<td>38.24</td>
<td>8559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSD12</td>
<td>3.56E-08</td>
<td>50.32</td>
<td>52870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSB1</td>
<td>1.33E-07</td>
<td>20.29</td>
<td>26472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRB6B</td>
<td>1.34E-06</td>
<td>10.23</td>
<td>47736</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PEPCK1 Interacts with Proteins Involved in Proteasome Degradation

To elucidate the regulatory mechanism of PEPCK1 degradation, we established a HEK293T derivative cell line that stably expressed PEPCK1 with both Flag and streptavidin-binding peptide (SBP) tagged to the N terminus. Tandem affinity purification with Flag beads and streptavidin-agarose beads followed by mass spectrometry analysis allowed us to identify proteins that specifically interact with PEPCK1. Among the consistently identified proteins were BAT3, UBR5, ubiquitin, and various proteasome-associated proteins (Figure 1E; see Table S1 available online). That PEPCK1 interacts with many proteins associated with the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway further strengthens the case for PEPCK1 degradation through ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. Interestingly, BAT3 is a known enhancer of the p300 acetyltransferase as well as a cochaperone that regulates protein degradation via the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway (Sasaki et al., 2007; Takayama and Reed, 2001); UBR5 (ubiquitin protein ligase E3 component n-recogin 5, also known as EDD1, DDS, and HYD) is a HECT domain E3 ubiquitin ligase (Callaghan et al., 1998; Honda et al., 2002). These observations indicate that BAT3 and p300 may be involved in PEPCK1 acetylation, while UBR5 could serve as a potential E3 ubiquitin ligase to regulate PEPCK1 stability.

UBR5 Is Involved in Glucose-Dependent PEPCK1 Degradation

We examined the role of UBR5 in regulation of PEPCK1 protein stability. PEPCK1 had a rather short half-life of approximately 30 min under high-glucose conditions. However, PEPCK1 protein stability was dramatically increased when UBR5 was knocked down by siRNA (Figures 2A and S1A). Consistently, UBR5 knockdown resulted in a significant increase in steady-state level of PEPCK1 (Figure 2B). These results suggest that UBR5 plays a role in PEPCK1 degradation.

As the key enzyme in balancing cellular glucose homeostasis, PEPCK1 activity is inversely regulated by glucose concentrations (Panin et al., 1979; Scott et al., 1998) (Figure 2C). However, in cells with UBR5 knocked down, high glucose failed to reduce PEPCK1 levels (Figure 2C, right panel). Furthermore, inhibition of deacetylases decreased the steady-state PEPCK1 levels (Figure S1B, upper panel), whereas UBR5 knockdown prevented the reduction of endogenous PEPCK1 by inhibition of deacetylases (Figure S1B, lower panel). Collectively, these data demonstrate that UBR5 is required for PEPCK1 regulation by glucose and it promotes PEPCK1 degradation possibly by serving as the E3 ubiquitin ligase for PEPCK1.

UBR5 Interacts with and Degrades PEPCK1 through Its C-Terminal Domain

In an attempt to analyze UBR5-PEPCK1 interaction, we found endogenous UBR5 coprecipitated with overexpressed PEPCK1 (Figure S1C); conversely, endogenous PEPCK1 coprecipitated with overexpressed UBR5 (Figure S1D). Moreover, the interaction between endogenous PEPCK1 and endogenous UBR5 was enhanced by deacetylase inhibitor treatment (Figure 2D), suggesting acetylation promotes PEPCK1-UBR5 interaction. UBR5 is a large protein containing 2799 amino acid residues. To map the specific domain of UBR5 that is responsible for PEPCK1-UBR5 interaction, we generated N-terminal zinc finger containing fragment (1–1245, UBR5-N), central fragment (1246–2375, UBR5-M), and C-terminal HECT domain containing fragment (2375–2799, UBR5-C) of UBR5 (Figure S1E) and tested their interaction with PEPCK1 and found that PEPCK interacted with UBR5-C but not with either UBR5-N or UBR5-M (Figure S1F). Since UBR5-C contains catalytic HECT domain, it could act as an E3 ligase toward PEPCK1 and thus promote PEPCK1 degradation. We tested this possibility by ectopically expressing UBR5-C and a catalytic inactive mutant of UBR5-C (UBR5-C C2768A, referred thereafter as UBR5-C-C/A) that still keep the ability to bind to PEPCK1 (see Figure 2G) and determined steady-state endogenous PEPCK1 protein levels. Only in cells overexpressing UBR5-C the endogenous PEPCK1 level was markedly decreased and ectopically expression of UBR5-C-C/A could not reduce PEPCK1 protein level (Figure 2E). Together, these results indicate that the E3 ligase activity of UBR5-C is required for UBR5-C to decrease PEPCK1 protein level.

Acetylation of PEPCK1 Promotes UBR5-PEPCK1 Interaction

A key mechanism in regulation of protein ubiquitination is the interaction between a target protein and its specific E3 ligase (Skowyra et al., 1997). We investigated the role of acetylation in UBR5-dependent PEPCK1 degradation. Since inhibition of deacetylases enhances the interaction between PEPCK1 and UBR5 in vivo (Figure 2D), we investigated the role of PEPCK1 acetylation in PEPCK1-UBR5 interaction by comparing the binding of PEPCK1 and the nonacetylable PEPCK1 mutants with UBR5-C in response to inhibition of deacetylases. The interaction between Flag-PEPCK1 and Myc-UBR5-C was readily detectable and was enhanced by more than 2-fold upon deacetylase inhibitor treatment; the interaction between PEPCK13K/R and UBR5-C was barely detectable and was not responsive to inhibition of deacetylases (Figure 2F). Moreover, acetylation mimetic PEPCK13K/Q mutant had stronger interaction with UBR5-C than wild-type PEPCK1 (Figure S1G). These results support the notion that acetylation of PEPCK1 facilitates binding to UBR5. To further confirm this notion, we tested whether the UBR5-associated PEPCK1 is highly acetylated compared with the free PEPCK1. UBR5-C-C/A and PEPCK1 was coexpressed in HEK293T cells. PEPCK1 was coimmunoprecipitated by UBR5-C-C/A and the relative acetylation level of the coprecipitated PEPCK1 was compared with the free PEPCK1 that was not coprecipitated by UBR5-C-C/A. We observed that PEPCK1 protein copurified with UBR5-C-C/A had a much higher relative acetylation level than PEPCK1 protein purified from lysates post UBR5 immunoprecipitation (Figure 2G). This result clearly demonstrates that acetylated PEPCK1 is preferentially associated with UBR5 and suggests an underlying molecular mechanism of PEPCK1 acetylation in promoting its degradation by increasing interaction with the UBR5.

The physiological correlation between PEPCK1 acetylation and its UBR5 binding was investigated by determining PEPCK1-UBR5 binding under increasing glucose concentrations. High glucose increases PEPCK1, but not PEPCK13K/R...
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Figure 2. UBR5 Is an E3 Ligase of PEPCK1
(A) UBR5 knockdown stabilizes PEPCK1. PEPCK1 levels of 293T cells with or without UBR5 knocked down were determined at different time points after CHX was added. Shown are representative western blot results of three replicates. Average quantified relative protein abundance from all three repeats is shown with SD.

(B) UBR5 knockdown increases steady-state PEPCK1 level. UBR5 in 293T cells was knocked down by siRNA and steady-state PEPCK1 level was determined. Results of two different siRNA oligos are shown.

(C) UBR5 knockdown abolishes glucose induced PEPCK destabilization. Steady-state PEPCK1 levels of HEK293T cells and HEK293T cells with UBR5 knocked down were determined under different glucose concentrations.

(D) Inhibition of deacetylases promotes endogenous PEPCK1-UBR5 interaction. Endogenous PEPCK1 levels coprecipitated with endogenous UBR5 under with and without MG132 treatment were detected.

(E) Overexpressed UBR5-C decreases steady-state PEPCK1 level. Steady-state PEPCK1 levels of 293T cells were measured when UBR5 domains were overexpressed.

(F) Deacetylase inhibitors affect PEPCK1-UBR5 interaction. PEPCK1-UBR5-C and PEPCK13R-UBR5-C interactions were determined with or without TSA and NAM treatment.

(G) UBR5 binds to acetylated PEPCK1. UBR5-C/C/A and PEPCK1 were coexpressed in 293T cells. Acetylation levels of PEPCK1 associated with UBR5-C/C/A.

(H) PEPCK1-UBR5 interaction is enhanced by high glucose. Myc-PEPCK1 and Flag-UBR5-C were coexpressed in 293T cells maintained at different glucose concentrations and PEPCK-UBR5-C interaction was by IP-western.

(I) Glucose increases PEPCK1-UBR5 interaction. Endogenous UBR5 proteins in Chang’s cells cultured in 25 mM glucose and glucose-free media were precipitated and PEPCK-UBR5-C interaction was determined. See also Figure S1.
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**Figure 3. P300 Acetylates PEPCK1 and Promotes Its Degradation**

(A) P300 acetylates PEPCK1. Flag-PEPCK1 was coexpressed with different acetyltransferases and purified by Flag beads. Acetylation levels of purified Flag-PEPCK1 proteins were determined.

(B) Catalytic activity of P300 is required to acetylate PEPCK1. Acetylation levels of PEPCK1 coexpressed with P300 or its catalytic mutant were determined.

(C) P300 knockdown decreases PEPCK1 acetylation level. Acetylation levels of Flag-PEPCK1 expressed and purified from 293T cells with or without P300 knocked down by siRNA were detected.

(D) P300 interacts with PEPCK1. Interaction between coexpressed Flag-P300 and Myc-PEPCK1 was detected.

(E) P300-PEPCK1 interaction is enhanced by glucose. Interaction between Flag-P300 and Myc-PEPCK1 coexpressed under different concentrations of glucose was determined.

(F) P300 overexpression decreases steady-state PEPCK1 level. Steady-state PEPCK1 levels of 293T cells overexpressing different acetyltransferases were determined.

(G) Catalytic activity of P300 is required for decreasing steady-state PEPCK1 level. PEPCK1 levels of 293T cells and 293T cells expressing P300 and P300S1396R/Y1397R were determined.

(H) P300 is required for PEPCK1 degradation. PEPCK1 degradation rates of Chang’s cells with and without P300 knocked down were measured under both low (0 mM) and high (25 mM) glucose concentrations. See also Figure S2.

PEPCK1 led us to investigate a possible involvement of P300 in PEPCK1 acetylation. Notably, the sequence flanking acetylated Lys70 and Lys71 of PEPCK1 matches the consensus sequence of P300 substrates (Liu et al., 2008) (Figure S2A). To experimentally demonstrate PEPCK1 is a substrate of P300, P300 and other acetyltransferases, including PCAF, CBP, and GCN5, were cotransfected with PEPCK1 and the acetylation status of PEPCK1 was determined. Our data showed that only P300, but not the other acetyltransferases, increased PEPCK1 acetylation (Figure 3A). Moreover, coexpression of the catalytic inactive P300S1396R/Y1397R mutant did not increase PEPCK1 acetylation (Figure 3B), indicating that P300 acetyltransferase activity is required for PEPCK1 acetylation. Furthermore, coexpression of P300 increased acetylation levels of wild-type PEPCK1, but not PEPCK13K/R, indicating that P300 acts on these lysine residues of PEPCK1 (Figure S2B). Contrary to overexpressing P300 increased PEPCK1 acetylation (Figure 3A), knockdown of P300 significantly decreased PEPCK1 acetylation level (Figure 3C). In addition, PEPCK1 could be coimmunoprecipitated by P300 when both were coexpressed in 293T cells (Figure 3D) and P300-PEPCK1 interaction increased with glucose concentration in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 3E).

**P300 Acetylates and Destabilizes PEPCK1**

Our affinity purification identified BAT3, a known enhancer of P300 (Mantelingu et al., 2007), as an interacting protein of P300 (Mantelingu et al., 2007) (Figure S1H). When UBR5-C and PEPCK1 was coexpressed in HEK293T cells maintained under different glucose concentrations and in the presence of MG132, we observed that high glucose promoted UBR5-C-PEPCK1 interaction in a dosage-dependent manner (Figure 2H). Furthermore, the amount of UBR5-associated PEPCK1 in cells cultured in 25 mM glucose increased approximately 1-fold compared with cells cultured in glucose-free medium (Figure 2I). In contrast, the nonacetylable PEPCK13K/R mutant displayed little interaction with UBR5, and more importantly, glucose could not increase the interaction between UBR5 and PEPCK13K/R (Figure S1I). These results show that the UBR5-PEPCK1 interaction is under control by acetylation, which is regulated by glucose concentrations.

**Acetylation (Zhao et al., 2010)** (Figure S1H). When UBR5-C and PEPCK1 was coexpressed in HEK293T cells maintained under different glucose concentrations and in the presence of MG132, we observed that high glucose promoted UBR5-C-PEPCK1 interaction in a dosage-dependent manner (Figure 2H). Furthermore, the amount of UBR5-associated PEPCK1 in cells cultured in 25 mM glucose increased approximately 1-fold compared with cells cultured in glucose-free medium (Figure 2I). In contrast, the nonacetylable PEPCK13K/R mutant displayed little interaction with UBR5, and more importantly, glucose could not increase the interaction between UBR5 and PEPCK13K/R (Figure S1I). These results show that the UBR5-PEPCK1 interaction is under control by acetylation, which is regulated by glucose concentrations.
These results support the notion that P300 is an acetyltransferase for PEPCK1. Consistent with this notion is a finding that although P300 mainly localized in nucleus, when PEPCK1 was overexpressed in Chang’s Liver cells, increased amount of P300 was detected localized in cytoplasm, the compartment in which PEPCK1 is located (Figure S2C).

The effect of P300 on PEPCK1 stability was also studied. Steady-state PEPCK1 levels were determined when P300 and other related acetyltransferases were each ectopically expressed in HEK293T cells. Expression of P300 decreased the steady-state PEPCK1 protein level by 70%, while expression of other acetyltransferases caused less discernable change of PEPCK1 protein levels (Figure 3F), suggesting that increased P300 activity in cells destabilized PEPCK1. The destabilizing ability of P300 on PEPCK1 was again found to be dependent on the catalytic activity of P300 because ectopically expressing of catalytic inactive P300 S368D and H187Y mutants (North et al., 2003; North and Verdin, 2007), was coexpressed with PEPCK1, thereby examined the possibility of Sirt1-7 among which Sirt1 and Sirt2 are the two major cytosolic members. Since PEPCK1 is a cytosolic protein, we thus examined the possibility of Sirt1 and Sirt2 being the deacetylases for PEPCK1. When PEPCK1 was coexpressed with Sirt1 and Sirt2 in 293T cells, Sirt2 interacted strongly with PEPCK1 while Sirt1 showed no interaction (Figure S3B), suggesting a possible role of Sirt2 in PEPCK1 deacetylation. Moreover, coexpressing Sirt2, but not Sirt1, with PEPCK1 in HEK293T cells caused an approximate 70% decrease of PEPCK1 acetylation level (Figure 5A), supporting a role of Sirt2 in PEPCK1 deacetylation.

### Sirt2 Deacetylates PEPCK1

Next, the deacetylase responsible for PEPCK1 regulation was investigated. Taking advantage that TSA and NAM inhibit certain type of deacetylases, we first measured PEPCK1 acetylation levels in cells treated with TSA, a class I, II, and IV deacetylase inhibitor, and NAM, an NAD+-dependent class III deacetylase inhibitor (Xu et al., 2007). NAM treatment led to more than a 300% increase in PEPCK1 acetylation level while TSA treatment caused negligible acetylation level change (Figure S3A), suggesting that PEPCK1 is deacetylated by a class III enzyme. There are seven known class III deacetylases in mammalian cells, namely, Sirt1-7 among which Sirt1 and Sirt2 are the two major cytosolic members. Since PEPCK1 is a cytosolic protein, we thus examined the possibility of Sirt1 and Sirt2 being the deacetylase for PEPCK1. When PEPCK1 was coexpressed with Sirt1 and Sirt2 in 293T cells, Sirt2 interacted strongly with PEPCK1 while Sirt1 showed no interaction (Figure S3B), suggesting a possible role of Sirt2 in PEPCK1 deacetylation. Moreover, coexpressing Sirt2, but not Sirt1, with PEPCK1 in HEK293T cells caused an approximate 70% decrease of PEPCK1 acetylation level (Figure 5A), supporting a role of Sirt2 in PEPCK1 deacetylation. Moreover, coexpressing Sirt2 with wild-type PEPCK1, but not with PEPCK1 S368D and H187Y mutants (North et al., 2003; North and Verdin, 2007), was coexpressed with PEPCK1, a 65% decrease of PEPCK1 acetylation level was observed.
Figure 5. Sirt2 Deacetylates and Stabilizes PEPCK1

(A) Sirt2 deacetylates PEPCK1. Acetylation levels of PEPCK1 expressed and purified from 293T cells and 293T cells coexpressing Sirt1 and Sirt2 were detected.

(B) Sirt2 deacetylates PEPCK1. Acetylation levels of Flag-PEPCK1 expressed and IP purified from HEK293T cells and HEK293T cells coexpressing Sirt2, Sirt2S368D and Sirt2H187Y, respectively, were determined.

(C) Sirt2 knockdown increases PEPCK1 acetylation level. Acetylation levels of PEPCK1 expressed from HEK293T cells and HEK293T cells with Sirt2 knocked down were probed by pan-acetyllysine antibody. Sirt2 knockdown efficiency was monitored by real-time PCR.

(D) Sirt2 knockdown decreases PEPCK1 protein level. Endogenous PEPCK1 levels of HEK293T cells and HEK293T cells with Sirt2 knocked down were determined by anti-PEPCK1 antibody.

(E) Overexpression Sirt2 decreases PEPCK1-UBR5-C binding but overexpression P300 increases the binding. Myc-PEPCK1 and UBR5-C-C/A were expressed and purified from HEK293T cells or HEK293T coexpressing either Sirt2 or P300, interaction between PEPCK1 and UBR5-C-C/A were analyzed by the amount of PEPCK1 coimmunoprecipitated with UBR5-C-C/A.

(F) Sirt2 increases ubiquitination level of PEPCK1. Flag-PEPCK1, HA-Ub, and Myc-Sirt2 or its catalytic mutants were coexpressed in HEK293T cells. PEPCK1 was purified by IP. Ubiquitination level of PEPCK1 was probed by anti-HA antibody.

(G) Sirtinol increases the ubiquitination level of PEPCK1. Flag-PEPCK1 and HA-Ub were coexpressed in HEK293T cells maintained under different concentrations of Sirtinol. IP purified PEPCK1 ubiquitination levels were detected.

(H) Sirtinol decreases steady-state PEPCK1 protein level. HEK293T cells were cultured at different concentrations of Sirtinol. Steady-state cellular PEPCK1 level as well as PEPCK1 gene transcription level was determined. See also Figure S3.
siRNA (Figure 5C); moreover, knockdown of Sirt2 by siRNA caused more than a 70% decrease in steady-state endogenous PEPCK1 level (Figure 5D), consistent with our model that acetylation destabilizes PEPCK1 (Figure 1A). Taken together, all results support a conclusion that Sirt2 is the major deacetylase that acts on PEPCK1.

**Sirt2 Decreases PEPCK1 Ubiquitylation**

Since acetylation enhances the interaction between UBR5 and PEPCK1 (Figures 2D and 2F), a predicted consequence of overexpression of Sirt2 would be that Sirt2 will decrease the association between PEPCK1 and UBR5 by decreasing acetylation of PEPCK1 and subsequently stabilize PEPCK1. Indeed, overexpressing Sirt2 in 293T cells decreased interaction between PEPCK1 and UBR5-C-C/A (Figure 5E). That Sirt2 decreases UBR5-PEPCK1 interaction by deacetylating PEPCK1 is further evidenced by the result that expression of Sirt2 significantly decreased PEPCK1 ubiquitinination (Figure 5F) and, moreover, by an observation that overexpression of the catalytic inactive Sirt2 S368D and H187Y mutants did not decrease PEPCK1 ubiquitinination (Figure 5F). Contrary to increased Sirt2 activity by overexpression, we also tested the consequence of decreasing Sirt2 activity. We treated cells with Sirtinol, a chemical that inactivates Sirt2 catalytic activity specifically (Outeiro et al., 2007) and observed that Sirtinol treatment caused a dramatic increase in ubiquitinylation of PEPCK1, a result that is opposite of Sirt2 overexpression, in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 5G). When steady-state endogenous PEPCK1 levels of Sirtinol-treated cells were determined, we found that PEPCK1 level decreased with increased Sirtinol concentration (Figure 5H), consistent with an increased ubiquitinylation level by Sirtinol treatment. Notably, the transcription level of PEPCK1 was not affected by Sirtinol treatment (Figure 5H), excluding the possibility that altered PEPCK1 level by Sirtinol treatment was a result of altered PEPCK1 transcription. Collectively, the above data show that Sirt2 decreases PEPCK1 ubiquitinination and increases protein stability.

**PEPCK1 Acetylation and Ubiquitinilation Are Regulated by Nutrients**

Being the key enzyme that controls gluconeogenesis, PEPCK1 activity is tightly regulated by cellular glucose levels. Consistent with a previous report that p300 transcription was upregulated by glucose (Chen et al., 2010), we found glucose mildly activates transcription of P300 (Figure 6A). In contrast, Sirt2 mRNA level was decreased with elevated glucose concentrations (Figure 6B). Consistently, Sirt2 mRNA levels in starved mice hepatocytes increased about 50% (Figure 6C). These results support a notion that high glucose increases PEPCK1 acetylation through combined effects of increasing P300 transcription and decreasing Sirt2 transcription. We next tested whether PEPCK1 ubiquitination was regulated by glucose, which is known to induce PEPCK1 acetylation. A progressive increase of PEPCK1 ubiquitination was observed with increasing glucose concentrations (Figure 6D). This glucose-induced PEPCK1 ubiquitination is through increased PEPCK1 acetylation because nonacetylation mutant PEPCK1ΔK84 ubiquitination is not responsive to elevated glucose concentrations (Figure S4A). Notably, when 293T cells were maintained in glucose-free medium with different concentrations of amino acids, PEPCK1 ubiquitinination level decreased with increasing amino acid concentrations (Figure S4B), consistent with the previous finding that amino acids decrease PEPCK1 acetylation (Zhao et al., 2010) and the notion that stability of PEPCK1 is regulated by its acetylation. Together, these results suggest that acetylation of PEPCK1 is regulated by different nutrients and controls PEPCK1 degradation according to the requirement of physiological needs.

**Changing Acetylation and Ubiquitinilation Affects Gluconeogenesis**

Last, the biological relevance of PEPCK1 acetylation and degradation in gluconeogenesis was investigated. When UBR5-C was overexpressed in 293T cells maintained in glucose-free medium, it caused an approximate 50% decrease in cellular PEPCK1 level and a 35% decrease in glucose production (Figure 6E), suggesting that gluconeogenesis can be controlled by manipulating the PEPCK1 E3 ligase activity. Unexpectedly, when the catalytically inactive UBR5-C-C/A was overexpressed in 293T cells, we observed an increase of approximately 40% in cellular PEPCK1 protein level and a mild increase in glucose production (Figure 6E). This is likely through a dominant-negative effect of UBR5-C-C/A, whereby a high concentration of UBR5-C-C/A competes with endogenous UBR5 for binding to PEPCK1, further confirming the involvement of UBR5 in PEPCK1 degradation and suggesting that inhibition of UBR5 activity could lead to elevated glucose production. Indeed, when cellular UBR5 mRNA levels were each knocked down to about 20% of its original level in both Chang’s and 293T cells, there was a roughly 200% increase of cellular PEPCK1 protein levels and 60% increase in glucose production (Figures 6F and S4C). We then confirmed that PEPCK1 protein levels and gluconeogenesis rate could be modulated by altering PEPCK1 acetylation. Knocking down P300, a manipulation that would decrease PEPCK1 acetylation, caused about 200% and 50% cellular PEPCK1 increase in 293T cells and Chang’s cells, respectively, and resulted in a 90% and 50% increase in glucose production in these two cells, respectively (Figures 6G and S4D). In contrast, knocking down Sirt2, which increase PEPCK1 acetylation level, caused 80% decrease in PEPCK1 level and 35% decrease in glucose production in HEK293T cells (Figure 6H). Consistently, inhibition of Sirt2 by Sirtinol decreases steady-state PEPCK1 level by 60% and decreases the glucose production by 40% in Chang’s liver cells (Figure S4E). Conversely, overexpressing Sirt2 in Chang’s liver cells caused a 50% increase in steady-state PEPCK1 level and 43% increase in glucose production (Figure S4F). Finally, we investigated whether PEPCK1 level and gluconeogenic rate can be regulated by altering Sirt2 level in mice. Tail vein injection of adenovirus packed with Sirt2 shRNA in mice effectively reduced Sirt2 levels of mice liver by approximately 45% (Figure S4G) and decreased PEPCK1 level by about 70% (Figure 6I, upper panel; Figure S4H). Sirt2 knockdown did not cause PEPck1 mRNA level change (Figure S4I), excluding the possibility that decreased liver Pepck1 levels were due to altered transcription. Supporting a decrease in liver Pepck1 levels by Sirt2 knockdown, average blood glucose levels of mice with Sirt2 knocked down decreased about 35% (Figure 6I, lower panel; Figure S4J). Together, these
Figure 6. PEPCK1 Degradation Is Controlled by Glucose Level and Can Be Manipulated by Control Degradation-Related Factors

(A–C), results are average values of triplicate q-RT-PCR assays with SDs. P300 transcription is upregulated by glucose (A). P300 mRNA levels of 293T cells cultured under different glucose concentrations were determined. Sir2 transcription is downregulated by glucose (B). Sir2 mRNA levels of 293T cells cultured under different glucose concentrations were determined. Fasting reduces Sir2 transcription. Sir2 mRNA levels of mice liver cells were determined (C).

(D) PEPCK1 ubiquitination response to glucose concentration. Flag-tagged PEPCK1 coexpressed with HA tagged ubiquitin in HEK293T cells maintained under various glucose concentrations were purified by IP and ubiquitination of purified proteins were determined by anti-HA antibody.

(E–H) Secreted glucose levels of cells maintained in glucose-free medium under conditions as indicated. Shown are average values of triplicate measurements with SDs. Overexpression of UBR5-C decreases glucose production; HEK293T cells and HEK293T cells overexpressing UBR5-C and UBR5-C-C/A were analyzed (E). UBR5 knockdown increases glucose production; Chang's cells and Chang's cells with UBR5 knocked down by siRNA were analyzed (F). P300 knockdown increases glucose production; HEK293T cells and HEK293T cells with P300 knocked down by siRNA were analyzed (G). Sir2 knockdown decreases glucose production; HEK293T cells and HEK293T cells with Sir2 knocked down by siRNA were analyzed (H).

(I) Sir2 knockdown decrease PEPCK1 and gluconeogenic rate in mice. Mice were tail vein injected by Sir2 shRNA. Liver PEPCK1 level and average blood concentrations with SD (n = 4). See also Figure S4.
results showed that modulation of PEPCK1 acetylation or ubiquitylation, hence protein levels may serve an important mechanism to regulate the rate of cellular gluconeogenesis.

**DISCUSSION**

Virtually all intermediate metabolic enzymes are acetylated and many examples have shown an important role of acetylation in metabolic enzyme activity/function regulation. In this study, we have uncovered a biochemical mechanism presenting how acetylation controls metabolic enzyme stability and providing a physiological role of acetylation in regulation of gluconeogenesis. We propose that acetylation plays a critical role in coordinating the level of PEPCK1, hence the gluconeogenesis rate, with the availability of nutrients, such as glucose and amino acids. When glucose is sufficient, gluconeogenesis should be suppressed. This is achieved in part by glucose-induced degradation of PEPCK1. High-glucose concentration increases PEPCK1 acetylation, which promotes its interaction with the UBR5 E3 ubiquitin ligase. As a result, the acetylated PEPCK1 is ubiquitylated and subsequently degraded by proteasomes. Therefore, gluconeogenesis is suppressed. In contrast, when glucose level is low, PEPCK1 is stabilized, hence gluconeogenesis is enhanced. Our data show that both P300 and Sir2 are major enzymes responsible for PEPCK1 acetylation and deacetylation, respectively. Therefore, PEPCK1 stability is controlled by a regulatory network including P300, Sir2, and UBR5 in response to glucose status.

Although our study provides insights into the cellular regulation of gluconeogenesis in response to nutrient availability, it also raises questions regarding how cells sense glucose levels to regulate PEPCK1 acetylation and what the molecular basis of acetylation is in promoting the interaction between PEPCK1 and UBR5. Sir2 uses NAD⁺ as a cofactor and has been implicated in metabolism regulation and possibly cellular energy response. The NAD⁺/NADH ratio is an indicator for cellular energy status. It is possible that Sir2 may sense cellular NAD⁺ levels, to influence PEPCK1 and thus gluconeogenesis, which requires energy and reducing power to produce glucose. Acetyl-CoA, an essential substrate for protein acetyltransferases, is a key metabolic intermediate. Therefore, it is not surprising that nature may use acetyl-CoA and acetylation to modulate metabolism because the level of acetyl-CoA may serve as an indicator of cellular metabolic status.

The regulation of gluconeogenesis is unique in two aspects. First, this process consumes much energy; a quick shutdown of this process when it is not needed is required. On the other hand, when physiological glucose level is low, a quick influx of gluconeogenesis is required to supply glucose for the brain or other important organs. Both aspects need prompt change of cellular PEPCK1 activity since it is the rate-limiting enzyme in gluconeogenesis. Compared with a translational control on PEPCK1 that usually takes hours to happen, proteasomal degradation can occur within minutes and thus could serve as a better way to rapidly respond to physiological glucose variation. Future study is of interest to demonstrate if acetylation-induced protein degradation is a general mechanism in regulation of metabolic enzymes.
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Acetylation Promotes PEPCK1 Ubiquitylation by UBR5

P300 siRNA-1: 5'-UGACACAGGCAGGCULUGAC
P300 siRNA-2: 5'-AACAGAGCAGUCCUGGAUUG

Glucose Production Assay
293T and Chang’s cells expressing siRNA or plasmids were cultured in DMEM. The medium was replaced with 1 ml of DMEM base supplemented with 2 mM sodium pyruvate and 20 mM sodium lactate. After 3 hr incubation, medium was collected and the glucose concentration was measured with a colorimetric glucose assay kit (GAGO20; Sigma-Aldrich). The readings were normalized to the total protein content determined from the whole-cell extracts.

Nuclear/Cytoplasm Isolation
Cells were lysed in harvest buffer (10 mM HEPES, 50 mM NaCl, 0.5 M Sucrose, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.5% Triton X-100, 1 mM DTT [pH 7.9]). The lysates were centrifuged at 1000 rpm. The supernatants (cytosplasmic extract) were collected. The pellets (nuclear extracts) were washed three times and then boiled in 1% SDS for western analysis.

Animal Experiments
All animal experiments conformed to protocols approved by animal care and use committees at Fudan University. Experiments were performed in 6- to 8-week-old male BALB/c mice, purchased from Shanghai Medical School of Fudan University. Animals were housed in a humidity- and temperature-controlled room with a 12:12 hr dark/light cycle. Adenovirus infections were performed by tail vein injection with 0.5 x 10^9 infectious particles per mouse. At day 6, mice were fasted for 6 hr before sacrifice. Livers were removed and whole-cell homogenates were made with NP-40 buffer and used for western blot analysis. Liver mRNA was isolated using TRizol reagent (Invitrogen) and used for quantitative PCR. Blood was collected from tail vein for glucose detection (Roche).

Statistical Method
Statistics were performed with a two-tailed unpaired Student’s t-test.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
Supplemental Information includes four figures and one table and can be found with this article online at doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2011.04.028.
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